

**MINUTES OF MEETING
LAKESIDE PLANTATION
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT**

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Lakeside Plantation Community Development District was held on Wednesday, **June 21, 2023** at 6:00 p.m. via Zoom Communication Media Technology and at the Lakeside Plantation Clubhouse, 2800 Plantation Boulevard, North Port, Florida.

Present and constituting a quorum:

Alan (Bud) Sabol
Pat LaVoy
Pina Chichelli
Mary (Sue) Martin
Bonnie Benjamin

Chair
Vice Chair
Assistant Secretary
Assistant Secretary
Assistant Secretary

Also present:

Jordan Lansford
Sarah Sandy
Joe DeBono (*via Zoom*)
Alex Murphy
Margie Gertsman
Courtney
LMP Representatives
Officer
Residents

GMS – District Management
Kutak Rock, LLP
District Engineer
WTS Operations Manager
WTS Amenity Manager
WTS

The following is a summary of the discussions and actions taken at the June 21, 2023 meeting. A copy of the proceedings can be obtained by contacting the District Manager.

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Roll Call

Ms. Lansford called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. and called the roll. All Supervisors were present.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Pledge of Allegiance

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

Audience Comments on Specific Items on the Agenda *(Audience Comments Limited to 3 Minutes per Person)*

Ms. Lansford opened the general audience comments period. Ms. Anna Shlossberg of 1539 Scarlett Avenue felt that there was an abuse of power by management. On May 1, 2023, she came to the Club, but could not enter the facility because her access was denied for no apparent reason. Ms. Gertsman decided to not allow her access to the Club and was yelled at by Ms. Gertsman to leave her office. Ms. Shlossberg felt that she should not be punished for something that was not proven to be true. The CDD Board should make this decision, not management. Ms. Shlossberg did not receive any apology and her husband had no idea of what appropriate behavior was because Ms. Gertsman had not explained it. As a result, she sent a letter to Ms. Gertsman's boss, which she did not receive an answer for and requested that the Board carefully review this report and decide whether Ms. Gertsman was qualified to continue in her role as a manager. Ms. Lansford stated this item would be discussed later in the agenda. Mr. Devon Poulos of 1255 Jonah Drive wanted the fountain in front of the community to be repaired, replaced or removed and requested that staff maintain the pool because the company that the CDD was using did not care about it. Mr. Poulos was happy that Commercial Energy Specialists (CES) was looking at automatic controllers, as it was good for the community. On May 1, he had a heated encounter with Ms. Gertsman and requested a less defensive approach in the future but noted they worked things out. There being no further comments, Ms. Lansford closed the general audience comments period.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Audience Comment Tracker

There were no comments or questions from the Board on the Audience Comment Tracker.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

District Engineer

There being no comments, the next item followed.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**Business Administration**

- A. Approval of Minutes of the May 17, 2023 Meeting**
- B. Approval of Check Register**
- C. Balance Sheet & Income Statement**
- D. Special Assessment Receipts Schedule**

Ms. Lansford presented the minutes of the May 17, 2023 meeting, the May Check Register, Unaudited Financial Statements and Special Assessment Receipts Schedule, which were included in the agenda package. The townhall minutes would be provided at the next meeting.

On MOTION by Ms. Martin seconded by Mr. LaVoy with all in favor the Minutes of the May 17, 2023 Meeting, May 2023 Check Register and Income Statement were approved as presented.

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**New Business Items**

- A. Hearing on Suspension of Amenity Privileges (*L. Shlossberg*)**

Ms. Sandy explained under the Amenity Policy, in order to suspend amenity access privileges for longer periods of time the Board was required to have a public hearing. An Incident Report was previously provided to the Board along with the suspension letter, affidavit from the amenity staff member that filed the Incident Report, pictures from the security camera, fob access information and copies of the District's Amenity Facility Policies. Mr. Shlossberg received notification of the suspension and this hearing, a copy of the Incident Report and affidavit from an amenity staff member. Originally, this hearing was scheduled for May 17th, but at the last meeting, a letter sent on behalf of Mr. Shlossberg was received requesting delay of the hearing as Mr. Shlossberg was not able to attend the meeting and the hearing was rescheduled for today. The incident occurred on April 28, 2023 and shortly after, Mr. Shlossberg's amenity privileges were suspended and a letter notifying him of the temporary suspension was sent on May 2, 2023.

Ms. Sandy explained under "*Suspension & Termination of Privileges*" in the Amenity Policy, "*The privileges at the amenity facilities could be subject to suspension or termination by the Board of Supervisors, if a patron or patron's family member exhibits unsatisfactory behavior, deportment or appearance or engages in conduct that was improper or likely to endanger the welfare, safety or reputation of the District or its management.*" It additionally provides, "*Anytime a patron or patron's family member violates these policies in a manner that*

is in the discretion of the Facility Manager upon consultation with one Board Member, such patron shall have all amenity privileges immediately suspended until the next Board of Supervisors meeting.” Ms. Sandy asked the following questions to Ms. Gertsmann:

- *When did the incident occur?* Ms. Gertsmann stated the incident occurred on April 27 and the next day, she received a call from an employee stating that she was being harassed in the evenings by a resident, Mr. Leon Shlossberg, who comes into the office at night when she was working alone. Last night, Mr. Shlossberg asked her what time she gets off work, what kind of car she drove, when the pool was usually empty, when staff left and if she carried a gun. The employee was upset and stated that she would no longer work in the evening.
- *After you received that call, did you ask the staff member to file an incident report?* Ms. Gertsmann replied yes.
- *What did you do after that to verify anything regarding the incident?* Ms. Gertsmann checked the cameras and provided photos and fob swipes.
- *What time was the security camera footage?* Ms. Gertsmann stated the photo indicated 6:04 p.m.; however, the camera and fob swipes were a few seconds off. It showed Mr. Shlossberg swiping in on April 27.

Ms. Sandy reported that the amenity staff member no longer worked at the Amenity Facility and provided a sworn affidavit from May 13, 2023 that was provided to the Board, reflecting that starting in late March, Mr. Shlossberg came into the Clubhouse to talk to the employee when she worked alone in the evenings several times a week. During weekday evenings, when the employee was working alone in the office, Mr. Shlossberg was teaching a piano lesson and the employee felt uncomfortable when he questioned what time she started work, what time she left work and what time patrons usually left the Clubhouse and pool. On April 18th, Mr. Shlossberg asked if she carried a gun and if she had it with her. On a separate occasion, Mr. Shlossberg questioned what kind of car she drove and attempted to hug her, but she backed away. On April 27th, the date of the incident, Mr. Shlossberg commented that she was working alone while laughing, which made her uncomfortable because he did not have a lesson or other reason to be there. During that interaction, Mr. Shlossberg tried to grab her hands while talking to her, but she pulled away. Mr. Lavoy questioned why the authorities were not called. Ms. Sandy explained that WTS, as her employer, discussed this option with her along with others

offered to assist her in reporting it if she wanted to. The employee decided she did not want to contact the police because she would be leaving the position in the near future. Ms. Gertsman believed that the employee feared retaliation, but if asked, she would go to the authorities.

Mr. Shlossberg was provided the opportunity to address the Board. He handed the Chair several papers, and noted that most of the residents know him. He and his wife moved into the community eight years ago and helped most residents during the hurricanes. No one ever said a bad word about him as he did a lot for kids. Mr. Shlossberg taught kids Math, Science and gave piano lessons. His wife was poorly treated by staff because they were family and he felt that staff owed him an apology because of how his wife was treated and all of these accusations. On April 28th, Mr. Shlossberg was waiting for a few minutes on the verandah because someone was supposed to pick him up to go to Sarasota. He stated he had multiple witness that could answer to when he was here and what happened. The next day, Mr. Shlossberg stated he was sitting with his partners at the restaurant when he heard a scream from his wife. Mr. Shlossberg wanted everyone to understand that management talked like they owned this place and did what they wanted, which was considered abuse. He knows what was moral and requested that the Board look at his education and what he has done for this county. Regarding the gun, Mr. Shlossberg mentioned to an amenity employee that he wanted to carry a gun because he was alone and did not feel safe. A resident named Mark Frandsen also spoke on Mr. Shlossberg's behalf. He reported that he was picking up Mr. Shlossberg from the amenity center to take him to a meeting in Sarasota on the night of April 27th. Mr. Frandsen stated he received a text message from Mr. Shlossberg on April 27th just before 6:00 p.m. and that he picked Mr. Shlossberg up to take him to a meeting in Sarasota at 6:03 p.m. Therefore, Mr. Shlossberg could not be in this room making advances at the employee as she reported.

Mr. Shlossberg concluded his presentation, and Ms. Sandy stated it was not the Board's opportunity to discuss and deliberate further. Mr. LaVoy questioned whether he would be liable for any decision that the Board made. Ms. Sandy explained that Mr. LaVoy was acting in his capacity as a Board Member and as long as he were acting in good faith, Mr. LaVoy was covered by the District's insurance policy. Mr. LaVoy questioned the number of complaints filed against Mr. Shlossberg. Ms. Lansford noted this was the first complaint that she was aware of. Ms. Shlossberg felt that the employee had the right to file the Incident Report, but her husband was in Sarasota. Ms. Lansford understood that Mr. & Mrs. Shlossberg were angry but requested that

was their sister, mother or daughter. Ms. Chichelli preferred suspending Mr. Shlossberg for six months because he made the employee feel uncomfortable. Mr. Sabol noted that this was a WTS employee and the District was responsible for her. Ms. Benjamin agreed that the Board should protect their employees and agreed with suspending Mr. Shlossberg for six months. Ms. Martin felt that Mr. Shlossberg served enough time. Mr. LaVoy agreed.

On MOTION by Mr. Sabol seconded by Ms. Chichelli with Ms. Martin, Ms. Benjamin, Mr. Sabol and Ms. Chichelli in favor and Mr. LaVoy dissenting, suspending Mr. Leon Shlossberg of 607 Pinckney Drive for six months until December 21, 2023 was approved. (Motion Passed 4-1)

B. Discussion of Insurance Reimbursement & Outstanding Items

Ms. Lansford would discuss this item under her report.

C. Consideration of Proposal from LMP for Palm Tree Injections

Ms. Lansford presented a proposal from Landscape Maintenance Professional (LMP) for Palm tree injections in the amount of \$5,620. A representative from LMP showed how they inject the trees. It prevented diseases from insects because the trees were Medjool Palms, which were the most expensive Palms. If the appropriate steps were not taken to protect them, the trees would die and would be more costly to remove them. The type of injection was OTC along with nutrients, which the Palms needed. The trees were not affected at this time, but they were weak and needed nutrients. Mr. Sabol pointed out that all of the trees were weak due to the hurricane. Mr. Lavoy was not in favor of it as the District did not have the money. Mr. Sabol requested deferring this matter and discussing it when the District received insurance funds. Ms. Lansford noted that OTC injections were budgeted for next fiscal year.

D. Consideration of Resolution 2023-05 to Reset the Date of the Public Hearing on Amending the Amenity Center Policies

Ms. Lansford presented Resolution 2023-05, resetting the date of the public hearing on amending the Amenity Center Policies as both public hearings could not be published on the same day. It would be moved to the August meeting.

D. Consideration of Resolution 2023-05 to Reset the Date of the Public Hearing on Amending the Amenity Center Policies

Ms. Lansford presented Resolution 2023-05, resetting the date of the public hearing on amending the Amenity Center Policies as both public hearings could not be published on the same day. It would be moved to the August meeting.

On MOTION by Ms. Benjamin seconded by Ms. Martin with all in favor Resolution 2023-05 Re-setting the Public Hearing for August 16, 2023 at 6:00 p.m. at this location was adopted.

E. Consideration of Resolution 2023-06 Appointing Darrin Mossing as an Assistant Treasurer of the District

Ms. Lansford presented Resolution 2023-06, designating Mr. Darrin Mossing, the owner of GMS, as Assistant Treasurer, for the purpose of signing documents and checks.

On MOTION by Ms. Benjamin seconded by Mr. LaVoy with all in favor Resolution 2023-06 Appointing Mr. Darrin Mossing as Assistant Treasurer of the District was adopted.

F. Consideration of Proposal from Professional Fountain Services, LLC for Fountain Repair Services

Ms. Lansford presented a proposal from Professional Fountain Services to replace the motor in a fountain in the amount of \$4,243.84, which was included in the agenda package. Ms. Gertsman stated that this was the company that maintained all of their fountains and this proposal was for the exit fountain. Mr. LaVoy questioned when the motor was last replaced. Ms. Gertsman asked the District Accountant but had not heard back. Ms. Lansford could not find anything in GMS' records other than for small repairs. It was insured, but the deductible would be \$2,500 since it was not for hurricane damage.

On MOTION by Mr. LaVoy seconded by Ms. Chichelli with all in favor the proposal from Professional Fountain Services, LLC. to replace the motor in the exit fountain in the amount of \$4,243.84, subject to insurance approval was approved.

G. Consideration of Pool Assessment Report

H. Consideration of Proposal from CES for Chemical Controls for Pool & Spa

Ms. Lansford presented an assessment from Mr. Ken Martin of Martin Aquatics, who were impressed with the condition of the pool and felt that it was structurally sound. Mr. Marin recommended that the chemical controller on the spa be replaced. Mr. Sabol was not in favor of installing a saline generator that Mr. Marin recommended, which cost an additional \$17,000 to \$23,000, at this time, but perhaps doing it next year and was in favor of approving the CES proposal in a not-to-exceed amount of \$6,000. Ms. Gertsman clarified that they were only required to have an automatic feeder on the spa, but not on the pool, but it was recommended. When the District had the money, Ms. Gertsman recommended replacing it because this week there was no chlorine in the pool. Probes in the existing chemical controller were replaced and the spa has been operating for three weeks but may not be operating for much longer. Mr. Sabol felt that the \$9,000 for an Aquatic Engineer was money well spent. Ms. Chichelli questioned whether the District had the money. Ms. Lansford indicated that there were funds available for emergency items only. *Discussion ensued and there was consensus to table this item until an emergency repair was necessary.*

- **District Engineer – Approval of Site Plan (Item 5B1)**

Ms. Lansford reported that Mr. Joe DeBono, the District Engineer was present and presented a \$8,000 Work Authorization for a Site Plan that the Board approved for the work that Welch Tennis (Welch) was doing on the tennis courts. The District's insurance company reimbursed the District \$2,000 in advance for this work to be completed; however, Welch could not start the work until there was a Site Plan. Mr. Sabol felt that \$8,000 for a Site Plan was high. Mr. DeBono explained that a Condition Survey needed to be performed, which was in order to complete the permitting process with Sarasota County.

Ms. Chichelli MOVED to approve the Work Authorization for the Site Plan in the amount of \$8,000 and Mr. Sabol seconded the motion.

Mr. LaVoy questioned whether the District already received \$200,000. Ms. Lansford explained that the District received a reimbursement of \$371,000 from Welch in March or April and the proposal was \$264,000. The insurance company provided an advance for every single proposal except for the street lighting, with the hope that they would receive a copy of the

invoice when the work was completed. As a result, these two proof of loses (POL) could be approved separately. Mr. Sabol and Mr. LaVoy questioned whether the money was sitting in an account waiting to be spent. Ms. Lansford confirmed that there was \$174,000 in the Reserve Fund, but the District was still outstanding on bills, with a little over \$200,000 to be paid. Mr. Sabol questioned whether electricity was necessary for the tennis court. Ms. Lansford confirmed that the lighting was separate. The Welch proposal that was approved and ratified by the Board in January and was sent to the insurance company, included engineering fees for the Site Plan as well as the tennis court and basketball court fencing and canopy. The lighting would be discussed later in the agenda.

On VOICE VOTE with all in favor the Work Authorization for the Site Plan in the amount of \$8,000 was approved.

Mr. DeBono left the meeting.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

General Audience Comments

Ms. Lansford opened the general audience comments period. The following residents addressed the Board:

- Mr. Devon Poulos of 1255 Jonah Drive was the Division Manager for the City of North Port, overseeing the water park and Warm Mineral Springs Park and recommended that pool parts be retained on hand. CES had a great system to automate the chemicals as it provided text alerts when chlorine was low and allowed for resetting from home. Mr. Poulos offered to show the Board around his pump room and felt that Ms. Gertsman was doing a great job, but there needed to be balanced assessments. *Ms. Lansford requested that the Board meet separately with Mr. Poulos.*
- Mr. Ryan Hughes of 2397 Pecan Drive asked if the proposal with LMP for Palm tree injections included a guarantee that the tree would be free of disease. *An LMP representative stated there was always a possibility that the tree could die, but as long as they were protecting the tree, there was no issue. However, the trees were nutrient deficient. LMP was scheduled to do the Palm pruning on July 11th. Mr. LaVoy requested a quote for the nutrients.* Mr. Hughes voiced concern that if the

treatment for the Palm trees did not work, the District would receive no financial compensation. *An LMP representative confirmed that the treatment always worked, but if not, they could discuss it.*

- Ms. Diane Raymond of 1509 Scarlett Avenue recalled that \$3,000 was budgeted for security, but no one ever attended meetings and questioned whether the \$10,210 pool cleaning and maintenance was in their contract, why \$5,000 was budgeted for tennis maintenance when maintenance staff was cleaning the courts and if the sidewalk at 1924 Scarlett Avenue that was damaged by the roof contractor would be paid for by them. Ms. Raymond felt that Mr. Shlossberg's suspension should have been overlooked, since it was his first offense and Mr. Fred Burrows should have received another six-month suspension as it was his second offense and questioned who to contact regarding the curb behind her house, which was raised by a dead tree. *When Ms. Chichelli looked at the curb, there was water, but the tree was alive and they needed a survey.* Ms. Raymond asked on behalf of Ms. Fran Dobler of 1707 Scarlett Avenue, if the Florida Forestry Service (FFS) was contacted about the preserve area. *Ms. Lansford contacted them and was waiting for a response.*
- Ms. Louise White of 1596 Scarlett Avenue requested that someone look at trees that were close to falling on her home.
- Ms. Lisa Wells of 1050 Jonah Drive questioned the life expectancy of the Palm trees. *An LMP representative confirmed that Medjool Palms could live from 50 to 200 years under the right conditions. There were no diseases in any of the Palm trees, but there was a nutrient deficiency.* Ms. Wells felt that the Board made the right decision on Mr. Shlossberg's suspension and questioned the lots sold at auction on Jonah Drive. *Ms. Lansford stated that it was a tax certificate sale on the properties that the owners stopped paying taxes on.*
- Ms. Wilma Sable of 1528 Scarlett Avenue questioned why Mr. Shlossberg was allowed to give piano lessons out of their facility. *Ms. Gertsman pointed out that Mr. Shlossberg was never stopped. Ms. Lansford was directed by the Board to send a letter to Mr. Shlossberg asking him to stop giving lessons.*

There being no further comments, Ms. Lansford closed the general audience comments period.

NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**Staff Reports****A. Attorney**

There being no comments, the next item followed.

B. District Engineer**1. Approval of Site Plan**

This item was discussed.

C. District Manager**1. Discussion of POL**

- **Discussion of Insurance Reimbursement & Outstanding Items (*Item 8B*)**

Ms. Lansford received a reimbursement of \$49,572.33 on June 1st but held off on signing it as the Board wanted to discuss the items included in the proposals. The lighting, in the amount of \$346,000, was the highest insured item; however, their deductible was \$17,300. Anything over the \$17,300, that falls within the \$346,000, was covered and reimbursed from insurance, except for the \$17,300. The lighting was fully approved by the insurance company in the amount of \$66,872.32, minus the \$17,300, for a total reimbursement of \$49,572.32. Mr. LaVoy asked if it was for the tennis lighting. Ms. Lansford explained that it was for all of the lighting in the community, including the tennis lighting. Mr. LaVoy requested that the tennis lights be removed from the proposal. Ms. Lansford pointed out if the Board did not want the tennis court lighting, the proposal must be revised and it must be sent back to the insurance company for approval. Ms. Chichelli questioned whether lighting was recommended as they did not have lights on the tennis court. Ms. Lansford explained that everything damaged by the hurricane was replaced. Mr. Sabol recalled in 13 years, there were only two people playing tennis at night and residents living across from the tennis court did not want them. Ms. Lansford pointed out that the policy must be amended to not allow night play. Mr. Sabol suggested having a switch to turn off the lights. Ms. Lansford stated the timers would be replaced.

D. Amenities Manager

1. Report (to be provided under separate cover)

Ms. Gertsman presented the Monthly Summary Report.

2. Consideration of Proposals from Innotech Construction Services, LLC for Clubhouse Flooring, Paint & Repair of Gazebo

Ms. Lansford stated the Clubhouse flooring, painting and repair of the gazebo were discussed at the Townhall meeting and the proposals were included in the agenda package. It was from one company to give the Board an idea of the costs. Mr. LaVoy thanked Ms. Gertsman for providing it. Ms. Chichelli requested a sample of the paint for the gazebo. Ms. Gertsman would request one. Mr. Sabol asked if the total amount was \$28,300. Ms. Gertsman explained that texturing and painting over the wallpaper was \$8,800 and \$19,500 to remove the wallpaper and paint. The issue with removing wallpaper, was that the drywall could peel. It did not look like the wallpaper was bubbled, but if it was, they could lose everything. Mr. LaVoy pointed out they had time to do it, as the goal was complete it by next year. Ms. Gertsman pointed out that replacing the flooring was necessary because the carpet was in bad shape, but this was not an emergency. Discussion ensued.

TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Other Business

There being no comments, the next item followed.

ELEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Supervisor's Requests

Mr. Sabol requested that a tree behind Ms. Martin's be removed, as it was on CDD property and was leaning towards the house. Ms. Lansford suggested having an arborist at LMP inspect the tree and provide a report to the Board. Ms. Martin would provide her address to LMP. If the tree was in the conservation area, it could not be removed. Mr. Sabol pointed out that the tree could be cut into pieces and put into the reserve. Ms. Trucco did not want to set a precedent on removing every tree, but if there was a known defect, the tree would be cut.

If the tree was in the conservation area, it could not be removed. Mr. Sabol pointed out that the tree could be cut into pieces and put into the reserve. Ms. Sandy did not want to set a precedent on removing every tree, but if there was a known defect, the tree would be cut.

A. LaVoy

1. Insurance Discussion

Mr. LaVoy suggested that the Board engage Stone Claims Group, a commercial Public Adjuster (PA) in St. Petersburg, to review the District's insurance policy. They provided a free review. The Carriage Homes engaged them after they had to assess every home \$6,000 and were able to get reimbursement for new roofs, gutters and re-painting. Ms. Sandy did not know how this would work since the insurance money was already accepted. Mr. LaVoy pointed out that they did not sign a release. Ms. Lansford recalled that a release for \$354,232.20 was signed and the Board just approved another release for \$49,572.32, but it may not hurt to have the review as they had over \$700,000 in losses. Ms. Chichelli was not in favor as they had the professional people here to do the review and their situation was different. Ms. Benjamin asked if anything was not covered. Ms. Lansford confirmed that the District paid \$300,000 in deductibles that was not covered by insurance along with engineering and attorney's fees and hurricane debris of \$150,000. Ms. Chichelli was comfortable not having anyone look at it. Mr. Sabol felt that it did not hurt to have someone else look at it for no charge. Ms. Lansford stated since last year, staff put 100 hours into this District at no charge and if the Board wanted to proceed, staff would provide the documentation on file to the PA.

Mr. LaVoy MOVED to engage Stone Claims Group to provide a free review the District's insurance policy and Mr. Sabol seconded the motion.

Ms. Adams requested that the motion be amended to authorize a liaison to work with Stone Claims. Mr. LaVoy requested that he be appointed liaison.

On VOICE VOTE with Ms. Martin, Mr. LaVoy and Mr. Sabol in favor and Ms. Chichelli and Ms. Benjamin dissenting, appointing Mr. LaVoy as liaison for engaging Stone Claims Group to provide a free review of the District's insurance policy was approved. (Motion Passed 3-2).

2. Assessment/Loan Discussion

This item was not discussed.

3. Volunteer Coverage Discussion

Mr. LaVoy wanted to have volunteers. Ms. Sandy stated the Board must approve a general policy to use volunteers and there would be additional work for amenity management staff and liability considerations because the District's liability policy did not cover volunteers. There would be volunteer management in terms of what projects they would work on, getting the people together, ensuring that they sign liability waivers and overseeing the work. The Board would need to be cautious about the type of activities the volunteers would be asked to do. Although the District's liability policy did not cover volunteers, there could be an additional policy from EGIS that would give the District some additional coverage if it engaged volunteers. Ms. Lansford stated to add coverage to the current policy, in order to price it accurately, they would need to know the number of events that occur over the entire fiscal year and every event would need to be documented, volunteers listed and approved by the Board. Then a formal package would be adopted with the list of individuals, activity each individual would be performing, number of hours, number of volunteers and it must be brought before the Board and submitted to the insurance company for approval. Mr. LaVoy wanted volunteers for a community garden. Ms. Sandy pointed out that typically a community organization was involved in a community garden, but not volunteers. Mr. Sabol felt that they had many qualified people that could be helping and would do a good job, but it would be a bad idea. The Board agreed.

TWELFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Shade Session to Discuss Security Proposals *(Closed to the Public)*

Ms. Lansford requested that members of the audience leave the room in order for the District to hold a Shade Session on security, which was called to order at 8:13 p.m. At the

conclusion, the regular meeting was called to order. Ms. Lansford requested that the Board take action to advertise for a Shade Session for the August 16, 2023 meeting.

Mr. LaVoy MOVED to schedule a Shade Session for August 16, 2023 at 6:00 p.m. at this location and Mr. Sabol seconded the motion.

Mr. LaVoy asked if Ms. Gertsman would check with Human Resources. Ms. Gertsman would engage security so that employees were not alone.

On VOICE VOTE with all in favor scheduling a Shade Session for August 16, 2023 at 6:00 p.m. at this location was approved.

THIRTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Next Scheduled Board Meeting is July 19, 2023 at 6:00 p.m. at Lakeside Plantation Clubhouse

This item was discussed.

THIRTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Adjournment

On MOTION by Mr. Sabol seconded by Mr. LaVoy with all in favor the meeting was adjourned.


Secretary/Assistant Secretary


Chair/Vice Chair